

# 1 List of common mistakes and some comments

- Polynomials in several variables over a field behave very differently than polynomials in one variable because  $k[x_1]$  is a principal ideal domain but  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  for  $n > 1$  is not a principal ideal domain. This fact makes things much more complicated for several variables and here I list some consequences that made most of the mistakes in this weeks homework.
  - The zeros of a polynomial  $p(x) \in k[x]$  are called roots. We restrict the usage of the word root for polynomials in one variable because the zeroes of polynomials in several variables behave differently.
  - The zero set can be infinite, example: the polynomial  $x_1 \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$  vanishes in the set  $\{(0, a) | a \in \mathbb{C}\}$  which is an infinite set.
  - The “number of zeros” of a polynomial  $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  only makes sense if the field  $k$  is finite, but even in this case the relation of “number of zeros” with the degree of the polynomial is much more complicated.
  - Having non-empty zero set does not prove the polynomial is reducible anymore. Indeed the polynomial  $x^2 + y^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  is irreducible but  $(i, 0)$  is a zero of this polynomial.
  - If a polynomial in several variables vanishes at a point  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n$  it does not imply that you can factor out a linear factor as one can do with roots.
- Over a finite field  $k$  with cardinality  $q$ , if two polynomials  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  define the same function on  $k^n$  it won't be necessarily true that  $f = g$ . Indeed, the only thing you can say is that they have the same reduction (where by reduction of  $f$  we mean the only element of  $g \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  whose degree in each individual variable is smaller than  $q$  and such that  $f$  and  $g$  have the same image in the ring  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_1^q - x_1, \dots, x_n^q - x_n)$ ).
- When working over a finite field of cardinality  $q$  one does not consider congruences ( $\text{mod } q$ ). From studying finite fields we know that any finite field has the form  $\mathbb{F}_q$  where  $q = p^n$  for some prime. But the additive structure of the ring  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is  $p$ -torsion rather than  $q$ -torsion.
- For the last problem, a lot of students only proved that Moebious transformations are automorphisms of  $K(x)/K$  but they did not justify why every automorphisms of  $K(x)/K$  is given a Moebious transformation.