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1. Heading 1

Here is some guidance for your reflection piece [please delete this text]:

It is important to avoid recounting the reading. Assume that everyone has read carefully, and only discuss facts/developments where relevant to your broader points. However, do feel free to define key terminology or concepts. Your response piece should follow at least one of the following strategies:

* You could analyze a major theme or problem in the readings and tie it to challenges in cybersecurity generally, or to larger theoretical frameworks used in cybersecurity.
* You could show the linkages among multiple themes/readings in the course.
* You could analyze the arguments raised in the reading by assessing strengths, the merits of counterarguments, and of course by identifying the implicit/explicit assumptions that underlie the argument. One useful exercise is to ask what would change if a key assumption changed. For instance, secrecy is a key element of cyber conflict capabilities. What might happen if we had an adversary or a condition where secrecy lost its value?
* You could take an approach that looks at the readings’ methology: what kinds of questions is the author concerned with? What kind of evidence does the author draw from and how compelling must this evidence be? Is there evidence or theorhetical approaches that the author dismisses or ignores?

The best response pieces integrate themes of the course, pose high-level discussion questions to the group, and/or present original arguments and the limitations of those arguments.

* 1. Finally

It does help to have a section that lists specific discussion questions you woud like to raise during the session.

* + 1. Heading 3

You are a Berkeley student. Might you want to use UC Berkeley’s fonts? They are elegant. You can download them here:.

https://berkeley.box.com/s/cbhbi2gxjbkss5f4giwhn5aj6etgjths